Martin J. Lehman State Bar No. 12172900 Andrew F. Emerson State Bar No. 06599200 Palmer & Manuel, L.L.P. 8350 N. Central Expressway Suite 1111 Dallas, Texas 75203 (214) 242-6444 (214) 265-1950 FAX ATTORNEYS FOR CONTINENTAL BANK

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

IN RE:	§	
	§	
CONGRESS SAND & GRAVEL,	§	
LLC	§	CASE NO. 10-37522-sgj11
	§	Chapter 11
DEBTOR,	§	_

And

CONGRESS MATERIALS, LLC, §

§ CASE NO. 10-37526-sgj11

DEBTOR § CHAPTER 11

CONTINENTAL BANK'S OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COMES NOW, Continental Bank, and files this Objection to Confirmation, and respectfully shows the Court as follows:

- 1. Continental Bank is the owner and holder of four loans, summarized as follows:
 - Three loans to Chiron Equities, LLC, <u>Congress Materials</u>, <u>LLC</u> ("Congress Materials"), Green Aggregates, Inc., Kyle Tauch and Jay H. Krasoff (the "Five Makers") and
 - One loan to the Five Makers and <u>Congress Sand & Gravel, LLC</u> ("Congress Sand").

Continental Bank's Objection to Confirmation

In Re: Congress Sand & Gravel, LLC et al; Case No. 10-37522-sgj11

In Re: Congress Materials, LLC et al; Case No. 10-37526-sgj11

(The Five Makers and Congress Sand collectively the "Co-Makers"). All four loans are secured

by personal property (vehicles and equipment) of Congress Sand and Congress Materials (the

"Collateral").

2. At the petition date, all four loans had been accelerated and were, therefore,

already matured. The aggregate owed on all four loans as of the petition dated was \$629,809.14.

3. Prior to Congress Sand and Congress Materials filing their petitions for relief,

Continental Bank filed a lawsuit (the "Lawsuit") in the State District Court in Utah, against all of

the Co-Makers, seeking to recover the debt. Congress Sand, Congress Materials and Green

Aggregates, Inc. were subsequently dismissed from the Lawsuit. The Lawsuit remains pending

as to the other Co-Makers (the "Non-Debtor Co-Makers").

4. Continental Bank timely filed proofs of claim herein.

5. On February 10, 2011, an Agreed Order on Continental Bank's Motion for Relief

from Automatic Stay (the "Stay Order") was entered herein. Among other things, the Stay Order

requires the Debtors to make payments (the "Adequate Protection Payments") of \$10,557.00 to

Continental Bank on or before the 15th day of each month until the earlier of (i) the stay being

lifted or (ii) a plan being confirmed. The Debtors are in default under the Stay Order due to their

failure to make the Adequate Protection Payment due June 15, 2011.

6. Continental Bank's secured claims are treated in Class 3 in the Plan. The amount

of Continental Bank's secured claims is proposed to be paid as follows: amortized over 6 years,

with 6.5% interest, with payments to begin 60 days after the Effective Date of the Plan.

Any unsecured portion of Continental Bank's claims filed herein will presumably be

treated as other general unsecured claims, under Class 16.

Continental Bank's Objection to Confirmation

In Re: Congress Sand & Gravel, LLC et al; Case No. 10-37522-sgj11

In Re: Congress Materials, LLC et al; Case No. 10-37526-sgj11

Page 2 of 6

7. Continental Bank objects to confirmation of the Plan for the following reasons:

(a) The plan provides the payments to Continental Bank will not begin until

sixty (60) days after the Effective Date, which could be several months

after the entry of a Confirmation Order. During this time, the Debtors

will, nevertheless, be continuing to use the Collateral. The Debtors should

be required to either (i) continue making the monthly Adequate Protection

Payments until payment begins under the Plan, or (ii) the Plan should be

modified to require payments to begin no later than July 15, 2011.

(b) The Plan does not require the Debtors to maintain insurance on the

Collateral, or pay the personal property taxes on the Collateral, both of

which are required to protect Continental Bank's secured claim.

(c) Paragraph 6.1 of the Plan provides the Debtors shall make distributions

required under the Plan on the Distribution Date or "as soon as practicable

thereafter." The Debtor should be required to make payments on or before

each Distribution Date.

(d) Paragraph 6.3 of the Plan provides that distributions are to be made at the

address of such holder as indicated on the Debtors' records. If a creditor

(such as Continental Bank) has filed a proof of claim, payment should be

made to the address stated in the proof of claim, or at such other address of

which the Debtors are later given written notice.

(e) Paragraph 9.5 of the Plan improperly attempts to extend injunctions and/or

stays that are otherwise governed by statutory provisions, which is

Continental Bank's Objection to Confirmation

improper.

(f) Paragraph 11.6 of the Plan (i) provides relief beyond what is authorized or

provided in Bankruptcy Code § 524, and (ii) is overly broad. The Plan

should be modified to provide that, in the event of a default by the Debtors

under the Plan, a creditor such as Continental Bank may take appropriate

action to enforce its rights, including but not limited to repossessing and

foreclosing on collateral and/or suing in an appropriate court (State or

Federal, as applicable) to enforce its rights.

(g) Paragraph 11.16 of the plan also provides as follows:

In order to effectuate the Plan, no action may be taken by a holder of a Claim against a non-Debtor co-borrower, co-maker, co-obligor, or

guarantor of such Claim if the co-borrower, co-maker, co-obligor, or guarantor is also a manager, member, or officer of the Debtors or Reorganized Debtors, up to and through thirty (30) days after the fifth anniversary of the Effective Date of the Plan provided

fifth anniversary of the Effective Date of the Plan, provided however, that the Bankruptcy Court shall retain jurisdiction upon reopening of these Chapter 11 Cases to modify or terminate the stay

in the event of a material default by the Reorganized Debtors in the performance of obligations under the Plan...

Such provision is not appropriate. In the Lawsuit in Utah, Continental

Bank is seeking to recover the debt from the Non-Debtor Co-Makers. The

Plan would stay the Lawsuit for over five (5) years. Under the Plan,

Continental Bank will not be paid the full amount it is entitled to collect

under its loan documents because, among other things, (i) if some amount

of Continental Bank's claims herein is determined to be unsecured, that

amount is not being paid in full under the Plan, and (ii) other amounts that

Continental Bank is entitled to recover from the Non-Debtor Co-Makers

Continental Bank's Objection to Confirmation

under the loan documents and/or applicable law, such as attorney's fees

incurred by Continental Bank in the Lawsuit and in this bankruptcy, and

the differential between the Plan interest rate and the contracted loan

interest rate, will not be paid to Continental Bank under the Plan. The

above provision is not authorized by the Bankruptcy Code, nor justified

under the circumstances of this case. Even if such a provision was

authorized and/or justified, in the event of a default under the Plan with

respect to Continental Bank's claims, Continental Bank should be

automatically allowed to enforce its rights using applicable non-

bankruptcy law procedures, without first having to re-open this bankruptcy

case or otherwise seek permission or relief from this Court to do so.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Continental Bank requests that its

objections be sustained, that the Plan not be confirmed without first being modified to satisfy the

objections, and that Continental Bank be granted such other and further relief at law, or in equity,

to which it may be justly entitled.

Respectfully Submitted,

PALMER & MANUEL, L.L.P. 8350 N. Central Expressway, Suite 1111

Dallas, Texas 75206

(214) 242-6444/ (214) 265-1950 FAX

By: /s/ Martin J. Lehman

Martin J. Lehman

State Bar No. 12172900

Andrew F. Emerson

State Bar No. 06599200

Attorneys for Continental Bank

Continental Bank's Objection to Confirmation

In Re: Congress Sand & Gravel, LLC et al; Case No. 10-37522-sgj11

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that on the 24th day of June, 2011, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was on the following:

Debtors (via regular U.S. mail):

Congress Sand & Gravel, LLC/Congress Materials, LLC 1401 Cates Street; Suite 201 Bridgeport, Texas 76426

Congress Sand & Gravel, LLC 14099 NE County Road 3180 Kerens, Texas 75144

Congress Materials, LLC 22 Waugh Drive, Suite 320 Houston, Texas 77077

Attorney for Debtors (via electronic):

Douglas Draper Heller, Draper, Hayden, Patrick & Horn, LLC 650 Poydras St., Ste. 2500 New Orleans, Louisiana 70130

<u>U.S. Trustee (via regular U.S. mail)</u>:

Office of the U.S. Trustee 1100 Commerce, Room 9C60 Dallas, Texas 75242

And all other parties receiving service by electronic notification.

/s/ Martin J. Lehman
Martin J. Lehman